

**REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF RTI ACT, 2005
FOR THE YEAR 2013-2014**

1. PARTICULARS OF REPORTING PUBLIC AUTHORITY :

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 1. | Name of the Office | : Mizoram Public Service Commission |
| 2. | No. of Gazetted Officers | : 13 |
| 3. | Names of the public sectors undertakings, Boards, Councils, etc. under the Office | : Nil |
| 4. | Name of the NGO's assisted by the Department | : Nil |

II. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 4 OF RTI ACT

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 1. | Whether all the records are catalogued and indexed by the Office? If not reason as to why? | : Yes |
| 2. | Whether information Hand Book under RTI Act as required by Sec. 4(1)(b) in the prescribed template has been prepared by the Office? If not reason as to why? | : Yes |
| 3. | Whether all relevant facts relating to formulation of important policies have been published by the Department/Office as required under Sec.4(1)(b)? | : Yes |
| 4. | Whether suo moto information has been provided to the public at regular intervals as required by Sec.4(2)? | : Yes |
| 5. | Whether every Information in the Department/ Office has been widely disseminated as required by Sec.4(3)? | : Yes |
| 6. | Whether information in the Department/ Office has been made accessible to SPIO concerned as required by Sec.4(4) | : Yes |

III. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 5 :

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 1. | How many SPIO's have been designated in the Office? If not why? | : One |
| 2. | How many SPIO's have been appointed in the Office? If not why? | : One |

3. How many Departmental Appellate Authorities(DAAs) have been appointed in the Office? If not why? : 1 (One)
4. When were these designations made? Kindly enclosed a copy of such orders : 22.8.2012 (Copy Enclosed)
5. Whether any assistance of any other officer has been sought by SPIO? If so, in how many cases? : No

IV. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 6:

1. No. of applications recieved in the Office. : 72
2. How many applicants had been assisted by the SPIO to reduce an application in writing under Section 6(1)(b)? : None
3. How may applications have been referred to another PA/ Office for providing information? : Nil
4. Amount of applications fees received. Were these deposited to the Government? : Rs.720(Rupees Seven Hundred Twenty)Deposited

V. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 7:

1. How many applications were decided within the prescribed time limit? : 72
2. How many applications were rejected by the SPIO? What were the main ground for rejecting the applications? : Nil
3. How many applications were not decided within the prescribed limitation? What are the main reasons for not deciding the applications within limitation? : Nil
4. How many applications were recieved concerning the life of liberty of a person. : Nil
5. Whether applications concerning the life of liberty of a person were decided within a period of 48 hours from the time of receipt of the application? : Nil
6. How many applicants failed to make payment of cost of providing the information? State the amount. : Nil
7. How many applicants belonging to BPL were provided information free of cost? And how many of them were denied information free of cost? : Nil

8. How many sensorily disabled applicants were assisted by the SPIO? : Nil
9. How many applicants were given information free of cost due to failure of the PA to provide the information within limitation? : Nil
10. In how many applications a third party was involved? : 4(Four)
11. Amounts of fees collected for the provision of such information during the reported period. : 60 (Sixty)

VI. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 8:

1. How many applicants were denied information under Sec.8? Give reasons used for denying such information? : Nil
2. How many applications were given information under Sec.8 on the ground of larger public interest? : Nil

VII. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 9 :

1. How many applications were rejected on the ground specified under Sec.9 of RTI Act? : Nil

VIII. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 19 :

1. How many appeals have been instituted before the DAA against the actions/inactions of the SPIO in the Department/Office? : Nil
2. How many such first appeals were decided by the DAA within a period of 45 days from the date of filling the first appeal? : Nil
3. How many first appeal were rejected? : Nil
4. How many first appeals were allowed? : Nil
5. How many 2nd appeals were preferred against the first Appellete Authority before the MSIC? : Nil
6. How many 2nd appeals were decided by MSIC and how many appeals were pending? : Nil
7. How many appeals were allowed by the Commission and how many were disallowed : Nil

8. In how many cases the Commission made recommendations and what are the actions taken by the Office on such recommendations? : Nil

IX. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 25 :

1. What are the recommendations of MSIC for compliance of the provisions of the RTI Act by the Office? : Nil

X. IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 26 :

1. What are the Departmental and organisational programmes conducted by the Office in respect of exercise of the RTI as contemplated under Sec.26(1)(a) ? : No such programmes is conducted


2. What actions were taken by the Office to encourage PAs in the developmental organisations of such programmes as mentioned above? : No such action is taken

3. What were the actions taken by the Office to promote the Act? : Applicants were encouraged to seek all kinds of information under this Public Authority

**QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER RTI ACT, 2005 FOR THE QUARTER ENDING MARCH, 2014
IN RESPECT OF MIZORAM PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DEPARTMENT**

Report on cases received and disposed of under RTI Act for the Quarter ending March, 2014.

- 1. Cases Received : 35**
- 2. Cases Pending : Nil**
- 3. First Appeals received : Nil**
- 4. Pending First Appeals : Nil**



(K.LALRINKIMA)

Deputy Secretary

Mizoram Public Service Commission

Phone No : 2333046